UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,538	07/29/2003	Haig H. Krakirian	UV-439 C1P	1537
75563 ROPES & GRA	7590 04/23/200 XY LLP	EXAMINER		
	KETING 39/361	DUFFIELD, JEREMY S		
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-8704		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2427	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/604,538	KRAKIRIAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JEREMY DUFFIELD	2427			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	bruary 2009.				
<i>,</i> —	/ 				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte quayle, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	70 0.3. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-36,38-48 and 50-58</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-36,38-48 and 50-58</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
· _ ·	alaction requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
- spanno(a), mail batto					

Art Unit: 2427

DETAILED ACTION

Miscellaneous

1. Note: Examiner art unit has changed from 2623 to 2427.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 13 February 2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-36 and 38-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2427

5. Claims 29-33, 38, 41-45, 50, 53, 55, 56, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis (US 2002/0174430) in view of Kaminski (US 2002/0199185).

Regarding claim 29, Ellis teaches a method of resolving conflicts for series recordings (Para. 198), the method comprising:

scheduling a plurality of series for recording (Para. 197-199, 201, 203); assigning each of the plurality of series a different recording priority (Para. 198, 220; 357-359);

determining that a scheduled recording of a first show in a first series conflicts with a second show in a second series that is scheduled for recording (Para. 198, 200, 206, 213);

cancelling the scheduled recording of the first show based on a first recording priority assigned to the first series, i.e. first show had a lower recording priority than a conflicting second show (Para. 206);

displaying a first interface screen comprising a plurality of listings of shows that are scheduled for recording, i.e. program listing screen, series listings screen (Para. 205-206, 208, 225, 229-230, 334-336);

displaying, in the first interface screen, a first indication that the scheduled recording of the first show has been cancelled (Fig. 7, el. 703; Para. 206);

switching from the first interface screen to a second interface screen (Fig. 52; Para. 357-359),

Application/Control Number: 10/604,538

Art Unit: 2427

wherein the second interface screen comprises a list of the plurality of series that indicates the recording priorities assigned to the plurality of series wherein a position of each series in the list indicates a relative recording priority for the respective series, i.e. a plurality of series are displayed in a prioritized list (Fig. 52; Para. 357-359); and

Page 4

receiving a user request, through the second interface screen, to increase the first recording priority assigned to the first series such that the scheduled recording of the first show is no longer canceled, wherein receiving the user request to increase the first recording priority comprises receiving a user selection of the first series in the list, i.e. modifying an existing scheduled program (Para. 213-214, 219-220, 357-359); and

displaying, in response to the user selection, an option to change the position of the first series in the list to modify the recording priority assigned to the series (Fig. 52; Para. 357-359). Note: even though the move up and move down icons are displayed the entire time, the option to change the position of a particular series will not be available until after that particular series is selected.

Ellis does not clearly teach receiving, through a single keystroke by a user, a first user selection to switch from the first interface screen to the second interface screen.

Kaminski teaches using a single keystroke to switch from a screen to a recording schedule or recording options screen, i.e. using the "B" or "C" button on the remote control (Fig. 8; Para. 76-78).

Art Unit: 2427

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis to include receiving, through a single keystroke by a user, a first user selection to switch from the first interface screen to the second interface screen, using the known technique of assigning user interface screens to a remote control button taught by Kaminski, with the user interface screens taught by Ellis, for the purpose of having quicker access to the most often used screens in a PVR/DVR interface.

Regarding claim 30, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches the second show is in a second series (Ellis-Para. 198, 200, 206, 213), and

wherein canceling the scheduled recording of the first show comprises:

determining that the first recording priority assigned to the first series is lower than a second recording priority assigned to the second series (Ellis-Para. 198, 206, 357-359); and

canceling the scheduled recording of the first show in response to the determining (Ellis-Para. 198, 206).

Regarding claim 31, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches canceling the scheduled recording for the second show in response to the increase of the first recording priority assigned to the first series, i.e. modifying the recording priority and cancelling the lowest priority show during a time conflict (Ellis-Para. 198, 206, 213).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,538

Art Unit: 2427

Regarding claim 32, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches receiving, through another single keystroke by the user, a third user selection to switch from the second interface screen back to the first interface screen, i.e. pressing the program guide button on the remote control to open the program listing (Ellis-Para. 195-196, 205-206; Kaminski-Fig. 4);

Page 6

displaying the first interface screen comprising the plurality of listings of the programs that are scheduled for recording (Ellis-Para. 195-196, 205-206); and

displaying, in the first interface screen, a second indication that the scheduled recording of the second show has been canceled (Ellis-Para. 206).

Regarding claim 33, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches displaying a no-record icon with a listing for the first show (Ellis-Para. 206).

Regarding claim 38, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches receiving a user indication of the first series from the list (Ellis-Para. 213-214; 334-336, 357-359); and

receiving a third user selection of the option to change a relative position of the first series in the list, i.e. the user inputs a new priority (Ellis-Para. 213-214; 334-336, 357-359).

Art Unit: 2427

Regarding claim 41, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 29. Ellis in view of Kaminski further teaches an apparatus for resolving conflicts for series recordings, the apparatus comprising:

a user input device, i.e. remote control (Ellis-Fig. 2a, el. 212; Fig. 2b, el. 9906; Fig. 2c, el. 9936; Fig. 3, el. 308; Kaminski-Fig. 4);

a display device (Ellis-Fig. 2a, el. 206; Fig. 2b, el. 9904; Fig. 2c, el. 9926); and

control circuitry (Ellis- Fig. 2a, el. 202, 204; Fig. 2b, el. 9902; Fig. 2c, el. 9922, 9924).

Regarding claim 42, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 30 and 41.

Regarding claim 43, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 31 and 41.

Regarding claim 44, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 32 and 41.

Regarding claim 45, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 33 and 41.

Art Unit: 2427

Regarding claim 50, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 29, 38, and 41.

Regarding claim 53, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches visually distinguishing the first series in the list from an other series in the list in response to the second user selection, i.e. moving a highlight window over a series in the display screen (Ellis-Para. 209, 219-220, 225-226; 357-359).

Regarding claim 55, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches displaying the option to change the relative position comprises displaying at least one icon indicating change in relative priority, i.e. move up, move down icons (Ellis-Fig. 52, el. 3508, 3510; Para. 357-359).

Regarding claim 56, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 41 and 53.

Regarding claim 58, claim is analyzed with respect to claim 55.

6. Claims 34-36, 39, 40, 46-48, 51, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Kaminski and further in view of Lajoie (US 5,850,218).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,538

Art Unit: 2427

Regarding claim 34, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches all elements of claim 29.

Page 9

Ellis in view of Kaminski further teaches displaying a first icon and a second icon with the first interface screen, i.e. "B" icon and "C" icon (Kaminski-Fig. 8, el. 850; Ellis-Para. 205-206, 208, 225);

associating the first icon with an interface screen (Kaminski-Para. 78); and associating the second icon with a second interface screen (Ellis-Para. 196-198, 213-214; Kaminski-Para. 78), wherein receiving the first user selection to switch from the first interface screen to the second interface screen comprises receiving a user selection of the second icon (Ellis-Para. 196-198, 213-214; Kaminski-Para. 78).

Ellis in view of Kaminski does not clearly teach associating the first icon with the first interface screen.

Lajoie teaches associating a first icon with a first interface screen, i.e. "A" icon for program guide by time (Fig. 17, 20); and associating a second icon with a second interface screen, i.e. "B" icon for program guide by theme (Fig. 17, 20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis in view of Kaminski's first icon to be associated with the first interface screen, using the technique taught by Lajoie, for the purpose of having quicker access to the most often used screens in a PVR/DVR interface.

Art Unit: 2427

Regarding claim 35, Ellis in view of Kaminski in view of Lajoie teaches maintaining the display of the first icon and the second icon when the second interface screen is displayed, i.e. when the second icon is selected, the interface changes to the second interface, and the same icons are displayed at the bottom of the screen (Ellis-Para. 196-198, 213-214; Kaminski-Para. 78; Lajoie-Fig. 20, 21).

Regarding claim 36, Ellis in view of Kaminski in view of Lajoie teaches receiving a third user selection of the first icon (Lajoie-Fig. 19; Col. 26, lines 26-48); and

switching from the second interface screen to the first interface screen in response to receiving the user selection of the first icon (Lajoie-Fig. 19; Col. 26, lines 26-48).

Regarding claim 39, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches all elements of claim 29.

Ellis in view of Kaminski further teaches receiving a third user selection to switch from the first interface screen or the second interface screen to a third interface screen, wherein the third interface screen comprises a plurality of listings of recorded programs (Ellis-Para. 225, 229, 230, 309; Kaminski-Fig. 8).

Art Unit: 2427

Ellis in view of Kaminski does not clearly teach receiving a third user selection through a single keystroke by the user to switch from the first interface screen or the second interface screen to a third interface screen.

Lajoie teaches associating a first icon with a first interface screen, i.e. "A" icon for program guide by time (Fig. 17, 20); associating a second icon with a second interface screen, i.e. "B" icon for program guide by theme (Fig. 17, 20); and associating a third icon with a third interface screen, i.e. "C" icon for program guide by title (Fig. 17, 20); and switching from one screen to another by pressing the associated button on the remote control at any time while being presented with one of the other three screens (Fig. 19; Col. 26, lines 26-48).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis in view of Kaminski to include receiving a third user selection through a single keystroke by the user to switch from the first interface screen or the second interface screen to a third interface screen, using the technique taught by Lajoie, for the purpose of having quicker access to the most often used screens in a PVR/DVR interface.

Regarding claim 40, Ellis in view of Kaminski in view of Lajoie teaches displaying a visual indication of an estimated time until at least one of the recorded programs will be deleted (Ellis-Fig. 36, el. 2206; Para. 309).

Regarding claim 46, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 34 and 41.

Regarding claim 47, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 35 and 41.

Regarding claim 48, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 36 and 41.

Regarding claim 51, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 39 and 41.

Regarding claim 52, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 40 and 41.

7. Claims 54 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Kaminski and further in view of Rowe (US 5,812,123).

Regarding claim 54, Ellis in view of Kaminski teaches all elements of claims 29 and 53.

Ellis in view of Kaminski further teaches displaying icons within the highlighted bar that indicate recorded content, scheduled recording, parental lock, series recording, etc (Ellis-Fig. 7, el. 703, 704, 706, 710, 714; Para. 205-

Art Unit: 2427

208); and recording priority increase and decrease icons (Ellis-Fig. 52, el. 3508, 3510; Para. 357-359).

Ellis in view of Kaminski does not clearly teach displaying the first series in a floating bar; displaying icons within the floating bar that indicate change in relative priority; and displaying a visual indicator in the floating bar indicating selection of the first series.

Rowe teaches displaying a first program in a floating bar, e.g. the viewing panel makes the selected program cell appear to 'float' above the other program cells (Fig. 2-6, el. 58, 60); and

displaying a visual indicator in the floating bar indicating selection of the first program (Fig. 4, el. 58, 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ellis in view of Kaminski to include displaying the first series in a floating bar; displaying icons within the floating bar that indicate change in relative priority; and displaying a visual indicator in the floating bar indicating selection of the first series, using the known method of highlighting a selected item in a list so that the item appears to float over other items in the list, as taught by Rowe, with the prioritized list and relative priority icons taught by Ellis in view of Kaminski, for the purpose of enhancing a user interface by providing an efficient and user-friendly manner of selecting an item in list (Rowe-Col. 2, lines 35-52).

Art Unit: 2427

Regarding claim 57, claim is analyzed with respect to the combination of claims 54 and 56.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEREMY DUFFIELD whose telephone number is (571)270-1643. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 8:00 A.M.-5:30 P.M. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Beliveau can be reached on (571) 272-7343. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2427

JSD

/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427